

THESE PAGES OF TEXT WERE FROM:
 THE LATE DR GORDON LATTO
 (formerly Medical Vice-President of the
 National Anti-Vaccination League)

THE DOWAGER DUCHESS OF HAMILTON'S SPEECH

The Chairman: On your behalf I should like to thank the Duchess of Hamilton for her very moving speech. Hippocrates, the father of medicine, said that the proper diet of civilised mankind was a vegetarian regime. This is logical from an anatomical, psychological, dynamic, humane and spiritual point of view. Yet how many practitioners in this land advocate such a rational and scientific diet? Very, very few. The medical profession is full of beliefs, but, as you know, beliefs place people in bondage. The truth alone makes one free.

In the olden days bloodletting was prevalent. One of the chief Journals of the medical profession today is called the Lancet, reminiscent of those days when bloodletting was so prevalent. Nowadays blood transfusion is the fashion. At one time mercury was advocated for many complaints, but now mercury as a treatment is largely condemned by the medical profession. Medical practice is therefore largely a series of beliefs and fashions.

If the doctors therefore are in bondage to beliefs, is it any wonder that the public are placed in difficult positions? They only hear one aspect of the story. I remember once a patient sending for me at two o'clock in the morning. On the 'phone her sister said that she had swallowed a partial upper denture. I hurried along to the house as fast as I could go and when I arrived I was met by one of her sisters who said "Oh! my sister is dying. Do hurry up." In the bedroom there were three sisters and this lady on the bed. The first sister said, "Cannot an operation be carried out immediately." The second said, "Why do you not call a specialist?". and the third said, "Why do you not do something quickly."

I examined her- she was somewhat obese, but I could not locate any foreign body. I then suggested to her, she have a good plate of porridge and that in due course she would retrieve her upper denture. She said that she could not accept this advice and she continued in her frightened state. I then said ^{Very} well, do what you like, that is what I advise you to do", and I banged my hand firmly on the quilt and as I did so the upper denture jumped up.

All the pain and panic and fear vanished immediately.

That just shows the powerful effect the mind may have on the body, and that is the position largely today. The public get wrong ideas of what their treatment should be. They learn only about one aspect of the question.

I have much pleasure in seconding the Resolution.

CHAIRMAN'S SPEECH SECONDING RESOLUTIONMOVED BY DR. BAYLY.

The Chairman: On your behalf I would like to thank Dr. Bayly for supplying such comprehensive statistics. It is not easy to argue with vaccinators. I do not know whether you have ever tried to do so. If an epidemic of smallpox breaks out and one is vaccinated and does not contract smallpox they immediately say "Thank goodness you were vaccinated." If it happens that there is an epidemic and the vaccinated person gets it mildly. they say, " If you had not been vaccinated you would have had it severely." If the vaccinated person gets it severely they say, " If you had not been vaccinated you would have died." And if the vaccinated person dies, then they say, " You have not been vaccinated properly." It is difficult to deal with logic like that.

But it is not the statistics alone that concern us. It is the question of what is morally right and morally wrong. When diphtheria came to be examined carefully it was found that the vast majority of cases arose among the poorest classes of the population. When the middle classes were examined it was found that the incidence of diphtheria amongst them was very small indeed and when those who were living in affluent circumstances were examined it was found practically impossible to obtain any statistics of an incidence of smallpox at all. This proves we should endeavour to deal with causes and not with results. That the money be spent in raising the conditions of those who are in poverty, and thus help to eradicate this disease from our midst.

CHAIRMAN'S SPEECH SECONDING THE RESOLUTIONMOVED BY DR. BERTRAND ALLINSON.

The Chairman: We are grateful to Dr. Allinson for moving this Resolution. He spoke quite a lot about doctors and here I would like to say a word about doctors myself, being one of them.

The average student of medicine goes through a very concentrated curriculum and during his course of studies he hears about the vaccination aspect, of course from his professor or teacher. He is busily engaged later on trying to build up a practice or paying off his debts and he has very little time to study the question. We must remember that doctors are frail human beings like the rest of mankind and no more infallible.

Vaccination is the one condition in which a doctor can treat by law a healthy person, and unless a doctor therefore has some strong reason for not believing in vaccination he is obviously going to continue vaccinating people. Not only that, but we consider this to be a free country, yet the propaganda for vaccination is so powerful that it can scarcely be described as really free. It is very difficult to get any propaganda in the papers or on the radio or on the screen for the Anti-vaccination Movement. I remember sometime ago looking at a paper which devoted three columns to one case of smallpox which broken out in Liverpool and magnified into a national catastrophe, and at the bottom of the page there was a reference to the fact that a river in China had overflowed its banks and drowned over 100,000 people. What an example of the tremendous lack of proportion in the presentation of news today. The day will come when vaccination will be looked upon as a monument of ^aunenlightened and misguided profession on whose shoulders such a heavy responsibility rests.

CHAIRMAN'S SPEECH SECONDING RESOLUTION MOVEDBY MR. THOMAS BRADDOCK M.P.

The Chairman, in seconding said: The act of 1853, made it compulsory for children to be vaccinated. In other words, it was necessary for children to contract cow-pox under that Act; it therefore was illegal to have a perfectly healthy child- a most disgraceful state of affairs. Vaccination is absolutely pseudo-scientific, for there is introduced into the system a virus which multiplies rapidly and over which the medical profession have no control and therefore it cannot be scientific.

Secondly, after vaccination one would expect the person who has been vaccinated to be immune at least from cow-pox, but such is not the case, for very often if a person is re-vaccinated shortly afterwards the vaccination is described as successful. In other words it "takes again". How therefore can vaccination protect one from smallpox if it cannot protect one from cow-pox? The late Dr. Hadwen described it as follows:

"How, if vaccination cannot protect one from the bite of a cat how can it protect one from the scrunch of a tiger?"

The vaccination conscience clause demands that the father should sign the document; nowadays, of course, the father is no longer looked upon as the head of the household, but simply as an equal partner. The Act is quite out of date and the demands made by it are not scientific.

I feel very privileged this evening to address you upon the subject of vaccination because this is a subject which means a great deal to me. I feel particularly at ease in so far that my audience is one which believes in vegetarianism and I trust that you are all vegetarians in principle. We are all linked together by a common bond; the reason why this meeting is open to vegetarians only is that the purpose of the Society is to strengthen the belief of vegetarianism and to enable one to make contact with other vegetarians and also to help those who are enquiring concerning vegetarianism that they may find out whether it is logical or not. This talk would not in any way influence anyone in my opinion sufficiently strongly enough to become a vegetarian. Therefore I felt it was wiser that it should be a closed meeting.

The word inoculation is derived from the Latin word 'Oculus - the eye'. When one buds cultivated roses onto the briar stem one applies the eye of the cultivated rose and binds it onto the stem of the briar rose and this eye grafts and grows. In inoculations one introduces a foreign material into the system, it thereby affects it.

The word vaccination is derived from the Latin word 'Vacca - the cow'. And vaccination is simply cow-pox inoculation.

It is very important that we should have this point quite clear in our minds if the whole story of vaccination is to be understood.

The purpose of vaccination is to prevent Smallpox. Such was the intention of the originator of this "stunt". A very expressive words invented by the Americans who nowadays believe in vaccination especially in childhood to prevent all types of illnesses. Scarlet fever, measles, etc. I am amazed at the number of mothers who have told me that they had no idea that vaccination was exclusively supposed to protect against Smallpox. Such is the awful ignorance of the general public.

Now, generally speaking, those who become vegetarians on their own accord, particularly those who become vegetarians on scientific grounds, are usually thoughtful people who are prepared to put their convictions into practice just as the principle which is involved in vegetarianism so in vaccination.

From the time of ~~Holy~~ Antiquity Smallpox inoculations have been carried out; as assured by the records of Persia, India and Egypt and other countries. In the olden days they took the sore from the infected person, scraped the scab off, dried it and introduced it through the nose by sniffing it up or mixing it with a little oatmeal and swallowing it, or scarified it on the arms. This was carried out for many centuries. People obviously would not have carried this out for this length of time unless there had been some reason for doing so. When a person develops Smallpox his resistance is at a low ebb and the body has to combat this infectious fever. However, when a person has Smallpox introduced artificially, it is most important to administer it when their resistance is high which can easily be arranged. This artificially produced disease also tended to cause the weaklings to succumb. This disadvantage was that Smallpox was always present in a community.

About 1774 a farmer in Dorset named Jesty discovered that his milkmaids became contaminated with cow-pox when milking the cows and developed blisters on their hands but did not develop Smallpox to the same extent as the average person. He was the founder of vaccination; of cow-pox inoculations. This farmer experimented on a boy who was treated by cow-pox who did not develop Smallpox but this in itself was very unconvincing in so far that the chances of any given person developing Smallpox are very remote. He tried the cow-pox vaccination on several people and in each case, either by chance, or from the effects of the inoculation they did not develop Smallpox. He continued his work of cow-pox vaccination for some time but the medical profession on those days was not convinced that it was a good or bad thing.

Cow-pox is not infectious like Smallpox.

Similarly a man called Edward Jenner formulated and popularized this form of vaccination. He wrote and worked hard and he got his friends to place the vaccination question before Parliament in an endeavour to convince the Members of Parliament ^{WHAT} was a boon it would be to the country if the whole population could be vaccinated. ~~here~~

Here in a few words I will describe to you how the vaccine is prepared.

A calf is strapped down to the table, the undersurface of the calf ~~are~~ is washed, shaved. The hind legs and forelegs are fixed so the animal cannot move and then somewhere between 40 and 100 incisions are made on the animal's undersurface. On each of these incisions (the animal must experience a great deal of pain) a drop of ^{GLYCERINATED} glycerated cow pox lymph is dropped and is gently smeared with a blunt lancet. The animal is then allowed to rise and a large wooden disc is placed around its neck so that it cannot bend its head between its legs and lick these sores. The incisions become red and inflamed and vesicles form and at the end of six days the animal is strapped down to the table again and the operator works with a white bib and two lancets; the first lancet is used for removing the slight crust which has formed and is cleaned on the bib; the second one is used for scooping out each of the vesicles and in the material which is placed in a crucible you have an exudation. You also have epithelial cells and a certain amount of blood because there is some bleeding and some hairs. This material is placed in a crucible and to it are added an equal quantity of glycerine. The whole mixture is placed in an electric machine where it is tricolated and made homogeneous and subsequently it is passed through a very fine mesh metal sieve and comes out very very clear, free from hairs etc. It is called pure glycerinated calf lymph. I cannot take exception to the adjective glycerinated but I do object to the other three terms.

Since July 1st, 1936 the calves of the Government Lymph Establishment are killed before the extraction of lymph.

Pure - by pure one means unadulterated, something elevated, something noble but here we are concerned with a mixture of epithelial cells, exudation and blood. It is anything but pure and it is the product of disease.

Calf - the original meaning of vaccine is that it comes from the adult female of the bovine species whereas this lymphatic material can come from either sex and it does not come from the cow but from the calf.

Finally, lymph is a pure substance that circulates in the body. We have a cardiovascular circulation and we have a lymphatic circulation. What comes from the calf is not lymph - lymph is a natural fluid. This material is exudated due to disease. So you see how misleading the whole set of terms are.

The designation is a complete misomer. It is what one would expect, however, after studying this question. Seeing that the vaccinationists have to move from one untenable position to another. The lymph that is administered contains a virus. By a virus we mean, or we think we mean, a germ which is very small. So small that it can only be seen under the most powerful microscope. If we take any given germ and we put it in a medium where it can grow, the germ multiplies. And if we take a little of this and put it in another medium it will grow again. Anterior Poliomyelitis or infantile paralysis as it was commonly known is a virus disease.

Mr. Roosevelt, the President of the U.S.A. was affected. Diabolical animal vivisectional experiments have been carried out on this virus. A given quantity of it is injected into the spinal canal of a monkey which develops the disease. At a subsequent date a preparation of the spinal cord of this first monkey is injected into a second monkey, this is repeated again and again on other monkeys. Each of the monkeys become affected by the disease. The virus grows and multiplies in each monkey. If it had simply been a poison it would have been diluted and diluted after each experiment and become weaker and less potent. Ultimately an ineffective disease producing material. But such is not the case; a virus behaves like a germ.

Vaccination is carried out by scarring the arm or any other part of the body where there is little movement. In olden days the upper part of the arm was vaccinated; nowadays in ladies it is often the upper part of the leg as they object to having these marks on their arms.

Is it a safe thing to do? In the early days of vaccination the vaccine was transferred from one person to another. This material was obtained from an infected cow. The arm of the first person was scarrified, lymph placed on it and ultimately a blister formed. Later a little of the exudate was taken off and vaccinated into a second person. Today, however, one relies on the vaccine of the calf. As occasionally venereal disease syphalis was conveyed by this method of administration.

Complications of vaccination as follows are three local infections which can spread: sepsis, syphalis and

I know of two cases of death from these complications. Numerous patients get glandular swellings in the armpits and the neck. The glands have been

weakened by this virus and have developed tuberculosis. Normally when one contacts a TB case one can deal with it. When the glands have been weakened by this form of therapy and then that person contracts TB the possibility that that person will develop TB after vaccination is one of the causative factors in the given circumstances of bringing about Tuberculosis.

I have a photograph of a boy that has just been vaccinated; it shows how the vaccine is inserted and how the glands at the side of the neck become swollen. The last and very important complication of vaccination is encephalitis. This is a condition in child or adult as the case may be develops a temperature, becomes sick and the brain is affected. It was originally suggested two or more, usually four cow-pox marks should be made when the patient is vaccinated but owing to encephalitis following vaccination discovered in 1922 it was suggested that in 1929 that one mark should be made but the pioneers maintained that one mark was insufficient. Vaccination continued though for a hundred years before the serious complication is discovered. What complications will be discovered in these pseudo-scientific serum administrations, which are a violation of the spiritual law,; it is very difficult to say.

Death from vaccination was relatively common. In 1900 a number of admitted cases of death from vaccination was one per week - admitted, mind you.

I have seen and heard of cases from death from vaccination but all were not recorded as such on the Death Certificate. One was called Bronchitis, one a Septic arm. But they were unquestionably deaths from vaccination in my opinion.

Babies were all drawn into this forced lottery and one per week died from vaccination in 1900. Today more people are killed from vaccination than from Smallpox in this country. This is a startling fact, the significance will be more apparent later.

Dr. Hadwen who, through independent study become an opponent of vaccination observed when speaking of his college days said "students were treated to a tissue of stereotyped assertions concerning the wonderful protective virtues of vaccination, which my own experience had convinced me to be incorrect. As to the practical part, I visited a public vaccination station three times to learn how to scratch a baby's arm with a lancet, and at the end of this 'course' I received the usual certificate to the effect that I had

attended a course of Instruction upon the Science and Practice of Vaccination, that the instructor had examined me with regard to it, and that I was competent to hold an appointment in that branch of the profession. I then understood for the first time the dogmatism of medical men upon the subject - the dogmatism of ignorance."

Vaccination in the early days was not compulsory, but in 1853 it became compulsory. There was a great hue and cry about it as so many people did not believe in it. Their conscience and intuition led them to believe that vaccination was wrong, and yet in this country, which was supposed to be a free country, all parents were forced under penalty to have their children vaccinated whether they liked it or not. Those who did not have their children vaccinated were often sent to jail or fined, or both, and the fine in those days was £1 plus costs. The fine was heavy relative to the average income which was low. The working man was paid £2 - £3 per week - he had to keep his house and family on that small amount. Thus the poor people were badly affected by this law. Yet the better class, (now I detest that term) signifying that people are measured according to possessions and not by what they are) laughed at it, paid their £1 and got off. So in 1871 to 1872 a great epidemic broke out. The greatest on record. Vaccination was at its peak, virtually the whole nation was vaccinated. But now vaccination has steadily declined and less than 30% of the population are vaccinated. Misleading propaganda give vaccination the credit for the well nigh disappearance of Smallpox.

In those years 1871 to 1872, 42,000 died people died from Smallpox alone and nature reasserted herself and exploded the theory of the prophylactic power of vaccination and sounded its death knell.:-

Ten years later in 1881 another epidemic occurred not nearly so severe as the former one and Mr. Bernard Shaw developed Smallpox which, I understand, made him decide to grow a beard.

In 1898 the conscience clause was introduced. That meant if you could repeat a stereotyped statement before a Magistrate or JP and convince him that you were conscientious and then sign the form in front of him, you were exempted from having your child vaccinated. The Statement was long and complicated and difficult to remember with the result that many people who went to make

application repeated it incorrectly and the JP or Magistrate refused to sign it. Continued agitation went on until 1907 when the amendment to the conscientious clause was enacted. Now on it was much easier all you had to do was to ~~make~~ take the form the a JP and sign your name in his presence, after declaring you conscientiously do not believe in vaccination.

X We must look at the facts in an unbiased manner and form our conclusions accordingly and not be deceived by erroneous preconceived ideas. Laws are said to be made by the consent of the majority of the people. The majority of the people do not believe in vaccination. The law decreed that every child be vaccinated; which in fact means that every child must contract cow-pox and his blood so affected becomes changed from the state in which it was given to him by God. Therefore, to have a perfectly healthy child was illegal according to the 1853 Act. That in effect means to prove that you have seen through the fraud of vaccination. Here a small minority controls the majority. This is an anachronism. The Statement had to be signed by the father, the crowned head of the household. The father is no longer looked upon as the sole head of the household as he was in these earlier days. He is only an equal partner which is the correct view, I think. The only occasion when the mother could sign this form in those days was when the child was illegitimate.

X What has prevented Smallpox from being in our midst today? First let us look back. In ^{1800s} about 1900 AD the industrial revolution came, the people left the villages for the towns, the country became denuded and depopulated. Town accommodation was insufficient, the people became packed into small badly ventilated houses and sanitation was bad in the extreme. Over-populated houses, faulty sanitation and poor food - these factors largely account for Smallpox. In those days, instead of having a camber on the roads, they were concave and human excrement was put on them. In hot summer weather, the sun played upon this material and flies contaminated it, people walking in the streets brought the material into the houses and smallpox naturally became rife.

Today in this country there is very little Smallpox indeed. Vaccination cannot take the credit for this great achievement (although pro-vaccinationists make this claim). What can take the credit? Better sanitation, better living conditions and isolation of all cases that become infected. Diseases such as Typhus Fever, Typhoid Fever, Plague and Cholera have almost completely

disappeared from our midst. Can vaccination take the credit here seeing that it is only claimed to control Smallpox? No! The decisive factor is sanitation.

In Australia and New Zealand where the population is small and there is no great over-crowding and no really bad sanitation, there is next to no Smallpox. Where the population is large and concentrated, as in India, there is bad sanitation, poverty and ignorance; Smallpox is prevalent. India is more greatly vaccinated relative to the population than in Britain yet India has more Smallpox - why? Because the causative factors above-mentioned are operative.

Smallpox is a fear disease as well as a dirt disease. There has only to be one case of Smallpox and hundreds of people panic to have their children vaccinated but Smallpox at its very worst in 1871 did not kill as many people as Whooping Cough or Measles or T.B. or Cancer or Scarlet Fever or Diphtheria. People tend to see the situation so much out of proportion largely through misleading propaganda. The liability of contracting Smallpox is small indeed and of getting it twice is extremely small. There are three types:- (a) Variola Minor (b) Confluent Small Pox (c) Haemorrhagic Small Pox. Variola Minor is a relatively mild disease and is most common whilst the other two varieties are less common but more serious.

Leicester decided that Smallpox should be controlled by isolation, and isolation has been the greatest preventative factor second to sanitation, in the curing, controlling and prevention of Smallpox. Smallpox is a disease which, if taken calmly, can be controlled easily. It is the fear generated in the minds of the people which causes so much of the trouble.

Does vaccination prevent Smallpox? The answer is most emphatically 'no'. Many people become vaccinated soon after developing Smallpox. Famine, as we know, causes thousands, sometimes millions of deaths. Floods cause great havoc upon this earth. People die yearly by thousands from blood-pressure caused by wrong living conditions. These things are given comparative little publicity or, if they do, it is very short lived. Whereas a slight outbreak of Smallpox will set the press, the radio and the screens magnifying it into a great calamity. A form of mass hysteria will thereby be set up with enormous advantage to vested interest in vaccination.

My brother, not long ago, wrote to a friend who was stationed in North Africa. This friend wrote back to say that he was in charge of a Smallpox Hospital where the patients were all British people who had been vaccinated prior to going out there yet they developed Smallpox.

In the Army if you are a recruit you are not compelled to be vaccinated; if you are a Territorial you do not have to be vaccinated, but if you are a volunteer you must be vaccinated. A patient of mine was called up some time ago, and he said to me "I believe in Nature Cure and I do not believe in vaccination, what shall I do?" I advised him not to be vaccinated and I posted to him the legal aspect of the question. The Army Doctor came and told him he had come to vaccinate him. The patient produced evidence to prove he had a choice in the matter and the Doctor confessed he was unaware of the legal aspect of the question. A few days later the C.O. sent for him and said "Why are you not being vaccinated?" And my patient said "Because both my Doctor and I do not believe in this system." The C.O. said "This is very unfair of you, all these other men have been vaccinated and you are a positive menace to them; you will catch the disease and give it to them." I told him to be ready for this question and so he said "If your other men have been vaccinated it means they cannot get it if vaccination is a prevention, so how can I be a menace to them?", upon which the C.O. said no more and the man has not been vaccinated!

From a Doctor's point of view, what does vaccination mean? The average Doctor financially, is comparatively a very poor person. You may not think so but this is the case. He has to live at a certain standard, keep up a certain style; he must have a car, a telephone, a maid, and he has to educate his children. His income is of such a nature that he finds it hard to make ends meet. When a Doctor dies, he it is surprising how little he leaves. The value of his house and his car is often all that he has left. Of course there are exceptions but we have to consider the average. I was recently speaking to a Doctor who had been in practice for 15 years, it was an average size practice and he told me he was still trying to pay off his debts. That state of affairs is wrong but it is the way of the world. Some Doctors it is true are making thousands a year but just as we do not judge the population by its Bernard Shaws so we should not judge the medical

profession by its specialists. Now vaccination is the one condition that enables a doctor to TREAT an healthy person legally. The average Doctor leaves school, goes through a very intensive and crowded curriculum at University, and gets his Degree and spends the next few years endeavouring to pay off his debts. The result is he is a very, very poorly informed person. I do not want to be hard on my colleagues but I want to be fair to them. I have spoken to many about the vaccination question and most of them have told me they have never read or studied the question of vaccination from the day they qualified until now. They have simply accepted the course of six inoculations as the whole matter of vaccination. Vaccination is an advantage to many doctors on the purely financial level and naturally reinforces their ignorance.

Vaccination will be looked upon in days to come as a monument to an unenlightened and misguided profession on whose shoulders so much responsibility rests. But there is hope! The attitude of the medical profession is rapidly changing. I possess a small pamphlet giving some of the things that have been said, which may be of interest to you:-

1. Dr. John Stewart of Perth. Said "I consider vaccination to be one of the greatest humbugs ever foisted on human beings."
2. Dr. Charles Creighton, M.D. of Aberdeen said "A grotesque superstition."
3. Dr. E.M. Crookshank. "A dangerous practice."
4. Dr. Haughton. "Quackery by Act of Parliament."
5. Dr. C.M. Nichols. "A blunder in poisons."
6. Dr. Allinson (whose name was crossed off the register for writing his beliefs to a Sunday Paper). "A ghastly risk."
7. Dr. A. Vogt of Berne University. "After collecting the particulars of 400,000 cases of smallpox I am compelled to admit that my belief in vaccination is absolutely destroyed."
8. Dr. Stramm (Medical Staff, Prussian Army). "I myself have been vaccinated and twice successfully re-vaccinated and yet I have been attacked with smallpox in the most virulent confluent form."

In London there are numerous Doctors speaking against vaccination. There is

a monthly magazine called The Vaccination Enquirer which answers many questions covering Vaccination and Inoculation.

I have now reached the penultimate stage of this talk and rapidly drawing to a close but there is something to be said about exploitation.

Exploitation is always wrong! If we exploit the land we damage it and ultimately hurt ourselves. It is always wrong only to give or only to receive. There should be a centrifugal and centripetal motion in all things. Mr. Edgar Saxon told us how in the time of the Roman Empire when it was at its height, the Romans crossed to Libya and exploited the land there; they exploited it to the extreme - took everything ^{out} and put nothing back in the way of nutriment. The result is that the most fertile plains of Libya are now desert. The exploitation of the animal kingdom is always wrong and a shamefully cruel custom, especially the killing of animals for food. Many people even in these days believe it necessary to eat cuttings from carcasses for the balanced sustentation of human life. Vaccination also involves exploitation of the animals; they are made to suffer and the human being who carries out the operations is corrupted. Cruelty when performed by anyone has a very miasmatic effect upon him causing the finer sentiments of compassion, pity and love ultimately to tend to die out. These sentiments are delicate, they require careful cultivation in order that they may bloom and fructify and spread their beneficial effect. Now the cruelty meted out by the operator to animals affects the heart of the person who carried it out and passes on its deadening effect to others with the result that unlovely spiritual conditions are generated spread and permeate all sections of the community, for we are all inter-dependent and can be adversely influenced.

We know, of course, how we have exploited the races that have different pigments under the skin - the black, the brown, the red and the yellow races - and this exploitation has always hurt us. I know of a man who went out to India and amassed a fortune by making these poor Indians work excessively hard. He came back with his fortune - and with his finer instincts dwarfed or killed. Money has a very hardening effect upon the heart.

Exploitation is always wrong in principle. Vaccination in principle is wrong on account of exploitation. In vaccination a virus is injected into the system circulates and alters the consistency of the blood - the blood changes

in character. The resistance to Smallpox may be slightly raised even this has not been conclusively proved, but the blood is tainted, polluted, changed in character and the general resistance of the whole body falls. We introduce into our system the disease, cow pox, and therefore by tolerating vaccination we are tolerating a condition that makes perfect health an impossibility. We should aim at the highest possible level; we should not be satisfied with an attitude that is unscientific, an attitude that is dominated by fear. We cannot have fear and faith at the same time. Let us have faith. We should do what is right for ourselves and others, for all things that are truly right are logical. People think, for instance, that Vegetarianism is not logical, but a careful study of it reveals that it is logical from beginning to end. Pseudo-scientific methods; vaccination is an example of this category - are a violation of true scientific law, therefore wrong in principle and illogical. The word 'heal' means to 'make whole'. To be whole should be our aim. Whereby we would be truly helping our fellow earthly denizens and earnestly contribute to making this planet of ours a happier and healthier place, acting in the sure knowledge that we are not violating divine law. If we violate first of all we exploit the animals - a violation of a spiritual law. Secondly we cause the moral degradation of the vivisectionists. Thirdly we impair the natural resistance of the person on whom the operation is performed. Vaccination is not scientific and is a violation of the natural law. We must do what is right irrespective of the consequences. What is right must be best for all, under a true spiritual assessment.

Can you imagine a greater violation of nature's laws than the injection of the diseased exudate, chemically treated into the blood stream of a baby? Let those who are privileged to be guided on the road to health tell us to do what is right and so walk with dignity through this short but varied path of ours which ^{is} call this incarnation.

THE ARCHIVE COLLECTION

THIS LITERATURE WAS COLLECTED
FROM VARIOUS SOURCES
OVER THE YEARS OF MY RESEARCH
ON VACCINATION
WHICH STARTED IN SEPTEMBER 1991.

FORTUNATELY I RECEIVED
SEVERAL DOCUMENTS
AND BOOKS FROM INDIVIDUALS
WHO HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN
THE ANTI-VACCINATION LEAGUE OF GREAT BRITAIN
DURING THEIR LIFE TIME.

THE LATE DR GORDON LATTO, WHO SERVED AS THE MEDICAL
VICE PRESIDENT OF THE LEAGUE DURING THE MID 1900s.
ALSO, IAN & MONIQUE STIRLING TO NAME A FEW.

ALSO THANKS TO JOHN WANTLING, AN INDEPENDENT
RESEARCHER, FOR PATIENTLY PHOTOCOPYING
NUMEROUS ARCHIVE PUBLICATIONS & FORWARDING
COPIES TO ME BACK IN THE MID-NINETIES.

I HAVE SCANNED THESE PUBLICATIONS & LITERATURE
TO PRESERVE THE WEALTH OF INFORMATION
CONTAINED WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS
IN THE HOPE THAT THEY WILL BE CIRCULATED
& MADE AVAILABLE TO ANYONE WHO WISHES
TO STUDY THE HISTORICAL DATA
SURROUNDING THIS SUBJECT.

MAGDA TAYLOR
THE INFORMED PARENT
WWW.INFORMEDPARENT.CO.UK

• 2017 •